## The Translation of Logic Languages

## 26 The Language Proll

Here, we just consider the core language Proll ("Prolog-light" :-). In particular, we omit:

- arithmetic;
- the cut operator;
- self-modification of programs through assert and retract.


## Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{bigger}(X, Y) \\
& \operatorname{bigger}(X, Y) \\
& \operatorname{bigger}(X, Y) \\
& \operatorname{bigger}(X, Y) \\
& \text { is_bigger }(X, Y) \\
& \text { is } \\
& \text { is_bigger }(X, Y) \\
& ? \\
& ? \quad \text { is_bigger }(\text { elephanant, }, Y=\text { horse, }, Y=\text { horse }=\text { donkey })
\end{aligned}
$$

A More Realistic Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{app}(X, Y, Z) \quad \leftarrow \quad X=[], Y=Z \\
& \operatorname{app}(X, Y, Z) \quad \leftarrow \quad X=\left[H \mid X^{\prime}\right], Z=\left[H \mid Z^{\prime}\right], \operatorname{app}\left(X^{\prime}, Y, Z^{\prime}\right) \\
& ? \quad \operatorname{app}(X,[Y, c],[a, b, Z])
\end{aligned}
$$

A More Realistic Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{app}(X, Y, Z) \quad \leftarrow \quad X=[], Y=Z \\
& \operatorname{app}(X, Y, Z) \leftarrow \quad \leftarrow=\left[H \mid X^{\prime}\right], Z=\left[H \mid Z^{\prime}\right], \operatorname{app}\left(X^{\prime}, Y, Z^{\prime}\right) \\
& ? \quad \operatorname{app}(X,[Y, c],[a, b, Z])
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark:
[] $=$ the atom empty list
$[H \mid Z] \quad \overline{ } \quad$ binary constructor application
$[a, b, Z]=$ shortcut for: $\quad[a \mid[b \mid[Z \mid[]]]]$

A program $p$ is constructed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
t & ::=a|X| \ldots \mid f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \\
g & ::=p\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right) \mid X=t \\
c & ::=p\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right) \leftarrow g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r} \\
p & ::=c_{1} \ldots c_{m} ? g
\end{aligned}
$$

- A term $t$ either is an atom, a variable, an anonymous variable or a constructor application.
- A goal $g$ either is a literal, i.e., a predicate call, or a unification.
- A clause $c$ consists of a head $p\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)$ with predicate name and list of formal parameters together with a body, i.e., a sequence of goals.
- A program consists of a sequence of clauses together with a single goal as query.

Procedural View of Proll programs:

| goal | $\overline{=}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| procedure call |  |
| predicate | $=$ procedure |
| body | $=$ definition |
| term | $=$ value |
| unification | $=$ basic computation step |
| binding of variables | $=$ |
| side effect |  |

Note: Predicate calls ...

- ... do not have a return value.
- ... affect the caller through side effects only :-)
- ... may fail. Then the next definition is tried :-))
$\Longrightarrow \quad$ backtracking


## 27 Architecture of the WiM:

## The Code Store:



## The Runtime Stack:

S


S $\quad=$ Runtime Stack - every cell may contain a value or an address;
$\mathrm{SP}=$ Stack Pointer - points to the topmost occupied cell;
FP $=$ Frame Pointer - points to the current stack frame.
Frames are created for predicate calls, contain cells for each variable of the current clause

## The Heap:

H


- The heap in maintained like a stack as well :-)
- A new-instruction allocates a object in H .
- Objects are tagged with their types (as in the MaMa) ...



## 28 Construction of Terms in the Heap

Parameter terms of goals (calls) are constructed in the heap before passing.
Assume that the address environment $\rho$ returns, for each clause variable $X$ its address (relative to FP) on the stack. Then $\operatorname{code}_{A} t \rho$ should ...

- construct (a presentation of) $t$ in the heap; and
- return a reference to it on top of the stack.


## Idea:

- Construct the tree during a post-order traversal of $t$
- with one instruction for each new node!

Example: $\quad t \equiv f(g(X, Y), a, Z)$.
Assume that $X$ is initialized, i.e., $\mathrm{S}[\mathrm{FP}+\rho \mathrm{X}]$ contains already a reference, $Y$ and $Z$ are not yet initialized.

Representing

$$
t \equiv f(g(X, Y), a, Z) \quad:
$$



For a distinction, we mark occurrences of already initialized variables through over-lining (e.g. $\bar{X}$ ).

Note: Arguments are always initialized!
Then we define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{code}_{A} a \rho & =\text { putatoma } \\
\operatorname{code}_{A} X \rho & =\text { putvar }(\rho X) \\
\operatorname{code}_{A} \bar{X} \rho & =\text { putref }(\rho X) \\
\operatorname{code}_{A}-\rho & =\text { putanon }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\operatorname{code}_{A} f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \rho=\operatorname{code}_{A} t_{1} \rho
$$

$$
\operatorname{code}_{A} t_{n} \rho
$$

putstruct $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{n}$

For a distinction, we mark occurrences of already initialized variables through over-lining (e.g. $\bar{X}$ ).

Note: Arguments are always initialized!
Then we define:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\operatorname{code}_{A} a \rho & =\text { putatom a } & \operatorname{code}_{A} f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \rho= & \operatorname{code}_{A} t_{1} \rho \\
\operatorname{code}_{A} X \rho & =\operatorname{putvar}(\rho X) & & \ldots \\
\operatorname{code}_{A} \bar{X} \rho & =\operatorname{putref}(\rho X) & & \operatorname{code}_{A} t_{n} \rho \\
\operatorname{code}_{A}-\rho & =\text { putanon } & \text { putstruct } f / \mathrm{n}
\end{array}
$$

For $f(g(X, Y), a, Z)$ and $\rho=\{X \mapsto 1, Y \mapsto 2, Z \mapsto 3\}$ this results in the sequence:
putvar 2
putstruct g/2
putatom a
putvar 3
putstruct $f / 3$

The instruction putatom a constructs an atom in the heap:


The instruction putvari introduces a new unbound variable and additionally initializes the corresponding cell in the stack frame:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{SP}=\mathrm{SP}+1 ; \\
& \mathrm{S}[\mathrm{SP}]=\text { new }(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{HP}) ; \\
& \mathrm{S}[\mathrm{FP}+\mathrm{i}]=\mathrm{S}[\mathrm{SP}] ;
\end{aligned}
$$

The instruction putanon introduces a new unbound variable but does not store a reference to it in the stack frame:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{SP}=\mathrm{SP}+1 ; \\
& \mathrm{S}[\mathrm{SP}]=\text { new }(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{HP}) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

The instruction putref i pushes the value of the variable onto the stack:


The instruction putref i pushes the value of the variable onto the stack:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{SP}=\mathrm{SP}+1 ; \\
& \mathrm{S}[\mathrm{SP}]=\operatorname{deref} \mathrm{S}[\mathrm{FP}+\mathrm{i}] ;
\end{aligned}
$$

The auxiliary function deref contracts chains of references:

```
ref deref (ref v) {
    if (H[v]==(R,w) && v!=w) return deref (w);
    else return v;
}
```

The instruction putstruct i builds a constructor application in the heap:


## Remarks:

- The instruction putref i does not just push the reference from $\mathrm{S}[\mathrm{FP}+i]$ onto the stack, but also dereferences it as much as possible $\Longrightarrow$ maximal contraction of reference chains.
- In constructed terms, references always point to smaller heap addresses. Also otherwise, this will be often the case. Sadly enough, it cannot be guaranteed in general


## 29 The Translation of Literals (Goals)

Idea:

- Literals are treated as procedure calls.
- We first allocate a stack frame.
- Then we construct the actual parameters (in the heap)
- ... and store references to these into the stack frame.
- Finally, we jump to the code for the procedure/predicate.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{code}_{G} p\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right) \rho=\quad \operatorname{mark} \mathrm{B} \quad / / \text { allocates the stack frame } \\
& \operatorname{code}_{A} t_{1} \rho \\
& \ldots \\
& \operatorname{code}_{A} t_{k} \rho \\
& \operatorname{call} \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{k} \\
& \text { B : } \quad \ldots
\end{aligned} \quad / / \text { calls the procedure } \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{k}
$$

```
\(\operatorname{code}_{G} p\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right) \rho=\quad \operatorname{mark} \mathrm{B} \quad / /\) allocates the stack frame
                        \(\operatorname{code}_{A} t_{1} \rho\)
                        \(\operatorname{code}_{A} t_{k} \rho\)
                            call \(\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{k} \quad / /\) calls the procedure \(\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{k}\)
B : ...
```

Example: $\quad p(a, X, g(\bar{X}, Y)) \quad$ with $\quad \rho=\{X \mapsto 1, Y \mapsto 2\}$
We obtain:
mark B
putatom a
putvar 1
putref 1
putvar 2
putstruct g/2
call p/3
B: ...

Stack Frame of the WiM:


## Remarks:

- The positive continuation address records where to continue after successful treatment of the goal.
- Additional organizational cells are needed for the implementation of backtracking
$\Longrightarrow \quad$ will be discussed at the translation of predicates.

The instruction mark B allocates a new stack frame:


The instruction call $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{n}$ calls the n -ary predicate p :


## 30 Unification

## Convention:

- By $\tilde{X}$, we denote an occurrence of $X$;
it will be translated differently depending on whether the variable is initialized or not.
- We introduce the macro put $\tilde{X} \rho$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { put } X \rho=\text { putvar }(\rho X) \\
& \text { put_ } \rho=\text { putanon } \\
& \text { put } \bar{X} \rho=\text { putref }(\rho X)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us translate the unification $\quad \tilde{X}=t$.

## Idea 1:

- Push a reference to (the binding of) $X$ onto the stack;
- Construct the term $t$ in the heap;
- Invent a new instruction implementing the unification :-)

Let us translate the unification $\quad \tilde{X}=t$.

## Idea 1:

- Push a reference to (the binding of) $X$ onto the stack;
- Construct the term $t$ in the heap;
- Invent a new instruction implementing the unification :-)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{code}_{G}(\tilde{X}=t) \rho= & \text { put } \tilde{X} \rho \\
& \operatorname{code}_{A} t \rho \\
& \text { unify }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example:

Consider the equation:

$$
\bar{U}=f(g(\bar{X}, Y), a, Z)
$$

Then we obtain for an address environment

$$
\rho=\{X \mapsto 1, Y \mapsto 2, Z \mapsto 3, U \mapsto 4\}
$$

putref 1
putvar 2
putstruct $g / 2$ putstruct $f / 3$
unify
putvar 3

The instruction unify calls the run-time function unify () for the topmost two references:

unify (S[SP-1], S[SP]); SP = SP-2;

## The Function unify()

- ... takes two heap addresses.

For each call, we guarantee that these are maximally de-referenced.

- ... checks whether the two addresses are already identical.

If so, does nothing :-)

- ... binds younger variables (larger addresses) to older variables (smaller addresses);
- ... when binding a variable to a term, checks whether the variable occurs inside the term $\Longrightarrow$ occur-check;
- ... records newly created bindings;
- ... may fail. Then backtracking is initiated.

```
bool unify (ref u, ref v) {
    if (u == v) return true;
    if (H[u] == (R,_)) {
        if (H[v] == (R,_)) {
            if (u>v) {
                H[u] = (R,v); trail (u); return true;
            } else {
                H[v] = (R,u); trail (v); return true;
            }
        } elseif (check (u,v)) {
            H[u] = (R,v); trail (u); return true;
        } else {
            backtrack(); return false;
        }
    }
    ...
```

```
if ((H[v] == (R,_)) {
        if (check (v,u)) {
            H[v] = (R,u); trail (v); return true;
        } else {
            backtrack(); return false;
        }
    }
    if (H[u]==(A,a) && H[v]==(A,a))
        return true;
    if (H[u]==(S, f/n) && H[v]==(S, f/n)) {
        for (int i=1; i<=n; i++)
            if(!unify (deref (H[u+i]), deref (H[v+i])) return false;
        return true;
    }
    backtrack(); return false;
}
```







- The run-time function trail() records the a potential new binding.
- The run-time function backtrack() initiates backtracking.
- The auxiliary function check () performs the occur-check: it tests whether a variable (the first argument) occurs inside a term (the second argument).
- Often, this check is skipped, i.e.,

```
bool check (ref u, ref v) { return true;}
```

Otherwise, we could implement the run-time function check () as follows:

```
bool check (ref u, ref v) {
    if (u == v) return false;
    if (H[v] == (S, f/n)) {
        for (int i=1; i<=n; i++)
            if (!check(u, deref (H[v+i])))
                return false;
    return true;
}
```

